IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.11489 of 2008
BABLU
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

3. 06.08.2008 Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

In this renewed prayer for anticipatory bail the submission is that Annexure 3, which demonstrates false accusation by submitting that the sale deed was executed in 2006 when the allegations are of pursuation to sell having been made by the petitioner in 2005, does not impress this Court when the document was already available with the petitioner on the previous occasion.

This Court upholds the objection of the learned Counsel for the State in this regard that no fresh ground is made out to reconsider the prayer for anticipatory bail. The same is accordingly dismissed.

Any application for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner shall be considered by the court below on its own merit without being prejudiced by the present order.

Snkumar/-

(Navin Sinha,J.)

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL